# **Seymour Contributions**

#### CAMERON RAMPELL

November 28, 2025

#### **Contents**

#### 1 A Blueprint for Cographicness

1

## §1 A Blueprint for Cographicness

#### Lemma 1.1 (Row space of a standard representation)

Let X and Y be disjoint finite sets and let

$$B \in \mathbb{F}_2^{X \times Y}$$
.

Consider the matrix

$$A := [\mathbf{1}_x \mid B] \in \mathbb{F}_2^{X \times (X \cup Y)},$$

where the columns are indexed by  $E := X \cup Y$  and the rows by X. Then the row space of A is

$$row(A) = \{ (u, uB) \mid u \in \mathbb{F}_2^X \} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^X \oplus \mathbb{F}_2^Y \cong \mathbb{F}_2^E.$$

*Proof.* The x-th row of A is  $(e_x, B_{x,*})$ , where  $e_x$  is the standard basis vector in  $\mathbb{F}_2^X$  and  $B_{x,*}$  is the x-th row of B. A general linear combination of the rows is therefore

$$\sum_{x \in X} u_x(e_x, B_{x,*}) = (u, \sum_{x \in X} u_x B_{x,*}) = (u, uB),$$

where  $u = (u_x)_{x \in X} \in \mathbb{F}_2^X$ . Conversely, every pair (u, uB) arises in this way, so these are exactly the row vectors.

#### **Lemma 1.2** (Orthogonal complement of a standard row space)

Let  $A = [\mathbf{1}_x \mid B]$  be as in Lemma 1.1, and let

$$U := \text{row}(A) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^{X \cup Y}.$$

Then the orthogonal complement of U is

$$U^{\perp} = \{ (bB^{\mathsf{T}}, b) \mid b \in \mathbb{F}_2^Y \}.$$

Equivalently, if  $B^* := -B^{\mathsf{T}}$ , then

$$U^{\perp} = \{ (bB^*, b) \mid b \in \mathbb{F}_2^Y \}.$$

*Proof.* Write vectors in  $\mathbb{F}_2^{X \cup Y}$  as pairs (a,b) with  $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^X$  and  $b \in \mathbb{F}_2^Y$ . By Lemma 1.1, any element of U has the form (u,uB) with  $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^X$ . The orthogonality condition  $(a,b) \in U^{\perp}$  means

$$0 = (a, b) \cdot (u, uB) = a \cdot u + b \cdot (uB) = a \cdot u + (bB^{\mathsf{T}}) \cdot u = (a + bB^{\mathsf{T}}) \cdot u$$

for all  $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^X$ . Hence we must have  $a = bB^\mathsf{T}$ , and then

$$U^{\perp} = \{ (bB^{\mathsf{T}}, b) \mid b \in \mathbb{F}_2^Y \}.$$

Over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  we have -1 = 1, so  $B^* = -B^\mathsf{T} = B^\mathsf{T}$ , yielding the alternative description.  $\square$ 

### Lemma 1.3 (Row space of the dual standard matrix)

With B and  $B^* = -B^{\mathsf{T}}$  as above, define

$$A^* := [\mathbf{1}_y \mid B^*] \in \mathbb{F}_2^{Y \times (X \cup Y)}$$

Then

$$row(A^*) = U^{\perp},$$

where U = row(A) and  $U^{\perp}$  is given by Lemma 1.2.

*Proof.* The y-th row of  $A^*$  is  $(e_y, B_{y,*}^*)$  with  $e_y \in \mathbb{F}_2^Y$ . A general linear combination of the rows is

$$\sum_{y \in Y} b_y(e_y, B_{y,*}^*) = (b, bB^*),$$

where  $b = (b_y)_{y \in Y} \in \mathbb{F}_2^Y$ . Thus

$$row(A^*) = \{ (b, bB^*) \mid b \in \mathbb{F}_2^Y \}.$$

Identifying  $\mathbb{F}_2^{X \cup Y}$  as  $\mathbb{F}_2^X \oplus \mathbb{F}_2^Y$  with coordinates ordered as (X,Y), this is exactly the set

$$\{(bB^*,b)\mid b\in\mathbb{F}_2^Y\},\$$

which coincides with  $U^{\perp}$  by Lemma 1.2.

#### Lemma 1.4 (Dual vector matroid via orthogonal complement)

Let A and A' be matrices over a field F with the same column index set E, and suppose

$$row(A') = row(A)^{\perp} \subseteq F^E$$
.

Let M(A) and M(A') be the vector matroids represented by A and A'. Then

$$M(A') = M(A)^*.$$

*Proof.* Let  $F \subseteq E$ .

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose F is dependent in M(A). Then there exists a nonzero vector  $c \in F^F$  such that  $A_F c = 0$ . Extend c by zero outside F (still denoted c). The condition Ac = 0 means each row r of A satisfies  $r \cdot c = 0$ , hence  $c \in \text{row}(A)^{\perp} = \text{row}(A')$ . Write

$$c = \sum_{i} \lambda_i r_i',$$

where the  $r'_i$  are rows of A' and not all  $\lambda_i$  are zero. For every  $e \in E \setminus F$  we have  $c_e = 0$ , so

$$\left(\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} r_{i}'\right)\big|_{E \setminus F} = 0.$$

Hence the rows of A' indexed by  $E \setminus F$  admit a nontrivial linear combination giving the zero row, so  $E \setminus F$  is dependent in M(A').

( $\Leftarrow$ ) The same argument with A and A' interchanged, using  $\text{row}(A) = (\text{row}(A')^{\perp})$ , shows that if  $E \setminus F$  is dependent in M(A'), then F is dependent in M(A).

Thus

F dependent in  $M(A) \iff E \setminus F$  dependent in M(A'),

which is the defining property of duality.

#### **Theorem 1.5** (Dual of standard representation corresponds to dual matroid)

Let M be a binary matroid on ground set  $E = X \cup Y$ , with standard representation B so that

$$A = [\mathbf{1}_X \mid B].$$

Let  $B^* := -B^\mathsf{T}$  and

$$A^* := [\mathbf{1}_Y \mid B^*].$$

Then  $M(A^*) = M(A)^* = M^*$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.2, if U = row(A) then  $U^{\perp}$  has the form

$$U^{\perp} = \{ (bB^*, b) \mid b \in \mathbb{F}_2^Y \}.$$

By Lemma 1.3, we have

$$row(A^*) = U^{\perp} = row(A)^{\perp}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1.4, the column-matroid  $M(A^*)$  is the dual of M(A):

$$M(A^*) = M(A)^* = M^*.$$

#### Lemma 1.6

The dual matroid of a regular matroid is also a regular matroid.

*Proof.* Let M be a regular matroid. We wish to show that  $M^*$  is also regular.

Take a standard  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -representation matrix B of M. By Lemma 34, since M is regular, there exists a TU signing B' of B: B' is a matrix over  $\mathbb{Q}$  that is TU, and |B'(i,j)| = B(i,j) for all entries. So M is represented (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) by a TU matrix B' whose pattern of zero and non-zero entries is exactly that of B.

From Theorem 1.5, if a matroid M has standard representation matrix B, then its dual  $M^*$  has the standard representation matrix  $B^* = -B^{\mathsf{T}}$ . The TU signing of this dual standard matrix,  $(B')^* = -(B')^{\mathsf{T}}$ , preserves total unimodularity, so  $(B')^*$  is a TU matrix whose support is exactly  $B^*$ .

Since we have just exhibited a TU signing of  $M^*$  (i.e.,  $(B')^*$ ), the dual matroid  $M^*$  is regular by Lemma 34.

#### Theorem 1.7

Every cographic matroid is regular.

*Proof.* We know that all graphic matroids are regular by Theorem 70. Recall that we say a matroid is cographic if its dual is graphic. So it suffices to show regularity is preserved under duals, which we showed in Lemma 1.6.