

## 1. INFORMATION ABOUT THE CARRIER SET

Let  $A$  denote a free abelian group on countably many generators. If it is easier, then after tensoring by  $\mathbb{Q}$  we can alternatively replace  $A$  by a countable-dimensional vector space over a field (of characteristic 0, to keep things generic).

In any case, for concreteness we can think of  $A$  as the set of  $\mathbb{N}$ -indexed tuples (over  $\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Q}$ , whichever is more convenient) with finite support. This set is generated by the basis  $\mathfrak{B} = \{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$ , where  $e_i$  has 1 in the  $i$ th coordinate, and zeros elsewhere.

It will be convenient for us to have a countable list  $S_0, S_1, \dots$ , where  $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$ ,  $|S_i| = \aleph_0$ , and  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} S_i = \mathfrak{B}$ . This can be done, for example, by taking

$$S_i = \{e_j \in \mathfrak{B} : j \equiv 2^i \pmod{2^{i+1}}\}.$$

## 2. A GENERIC SOLUTION TO THE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION FOR 1692

Equation 1692 is  $x = (y \diamond x) \diamond ((y \diamond x) \diamond y)$ . Setting  $x \diamond y := x + f(h)$ , where  $h := y - x$  and  $f$  is a self-map on  $A$ , we see that we want


$$\begin{aligned} x &= (x + h + f(-h)) \diamond ((x + h + f(-h)) \diamond (x + h)) \\ &= (x + h + f(-h)) \diamond (x + h + f(-h) + f(-f(-h))) \\ &= x + h + f(-h) + f^2(-f(-h)). \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging, and replacing  $h$  by  $-h$ , we get the functional equation  $f^2(-f(h)) = h - f(h)$ .

Rather than showing how to extend finite partial solutions to this functional equation (which I found to be difficult, but perhaps someone else can make that work), I will instead describe how to construct a single generic solution.

The main idea is the following: If  $f$  satisfies the functional equation, then given any pair  $(a, b) \in f$ , there exists some  $c \in A$  such that  $(-b, c), (c, a - b) \in f$ . So we will freely add those pairs, and then for each of the two new pairs we will add two new pairs, and so forth. This leads to the formation of an infinite bifurcation tree, as follows.

Suppose that  $a \in A$  is arbitrary, and suppose that  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  are any linearly independent elements of  $A$ , also independent of  $a$ . Then we can construct the infinite binary tree



There are some basic facts that we will need about the ordered pairs that appear in this tree. (Feel free to give better/easier proofs of these facts.)

**Lemma 2.1.** *For each node in the tree, the two entries in the ordered pair are linearly independent, and the second is never zero.*

*Proof.* Induct on the depth of the node, and use the linear independence of the  $x_i$  and the definition of the two children.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *In the tree above, the only entry in  $\text{Span}(a)$  is the left-most entry in the left-most ordered pair.*

*Proof.* It suffices to consider the case when  $a = 0$ , and then this follows from the previous lemma (for second coordinates), and another induction via depth (for first coordinates).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *The nodes in the tree form a (partial) function on  $A$ , where the functional equation is satisfied at all first coordinates.*

*Proof.* The second claim is clear after we show the first. I'll leave the first claim for others to justify.  $\square$

I don't know if we need the full power of the next lemma, but it helps us verify when non-implications will hold (as to be described later). It can probably be handled on a case-by-case basis to save time and effort when putting it in Lean.

**Lemma 2.4.** *For any finite subset  $F \subseteq \{a, x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ , there are only finitely many coordinates in the ordered pairs appearing in the tree that also live in  $\text{Span}(F)$ , and it is computable how far down the tree one must go before they cease to appear.*

For example, when  $F = \{a, x_1\}$ , there are exactly 4 nodes where at least one of the coordinates comes from  $\text{Span}(F)$ .

Now, we construct a (total) generic function  $f$  on  $A$ , satisfying the functional equation, as follows. Order the elements of  $A$  as  $a_0, a_1, \dots$ , say with  $a_0 = 0$  for convenience. Build the binary tree as above, with  $a = a_0$  and with  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  taken as the elements of  $S_0$ , and let  $f_0$  be the set of ordered pairs in that tree.

Next, if  $a_1$  occurs as a first coordinate in  $f_0$ , take  $f_1 := f_0$ . Otherwise, build another binary tree starting with  $a = a_1$  and  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  taken from  $S_i$  where  $i$  is the smallest index such that none of its elements appear in the support of  $a_1$ , nor any coordinates in  $f_0$ . Let  $f_1$  be  $f_0$  unioned with the new pairs from this new tree.

Recurring this way, we are done. Note that the complete function  $f$  must be injective (for if  $f(a_1) = b = f(a_2)$ , and we set  $c = f(-b)$ , then  $a_1 - b = f(c) = a_2 - b$ ).

### 3. NON-IMPLICATIONS

Equation 23 is  $x = (x \diamond x) \diamond x$ . The corresponding functional equation is  $f(0) + f(-f(0)) = 0$ . Our initial tree has  $f(0) = x_1$  and  $f(-x_1) = x_2$ . This fails the new functional equation, as desired.

Equation 47 is  $x = x \diamond (x \diamond (x \diamond x))$ . The functional equation is  $0 = f^3(0)$ . By one of our lemmas, we know that 0 is never an output of our function.

Equation 1832 is  $x = (x \diamond (x \diamond x)) \diamond (x \diamond x)$ . The functional equation is  $0 = f^2(0) + f(f(0) - f^2(0))$ . In our initial tree we have  $f(0) = x_1$  and  $f(x_1) = x_4$ . Nothing in the original tree maps to  $-x_4$ , so it is not in the image of the generic function. (Alternatively,  $x_1 - x_4$  is not

a first coordinate of any pair in the first tree, so it starts a new tree, and thus does not map to  $-x_4$ .)

Equation 2441 is  $x = (x \diamond ((x \diamond x) \diamond x)) \diamond x$ . The functional equation is

$$0 = f(f(0) + f(-f(0))) + f(-f(f(0) + f(-f(0)))).$$

In the original tree we have  $f(0) = x_1$ ,  $f(-x_1) = x_2$ ,  $f(x_1 + x_2) = x_6$ , and  $f(-x_6) = x_{11}$ . So the functional equation fails.

Equation 3050 is  $x = (((x \diamond x) \diamond x) \diamond x) \diamond x$ . The functional equation is

$$0 = f(0) + f(-f(0)) + f(-f(0) - f(-f(0))) + f(-f(0) - f(-f(0)) - f(-f(0) - f(-f(0)))).$$

In the first tree we have  $f(0) = x_1$ ,  $f(-x_1) = x_2$ , and  $f(-x_1 - x_2)$  is undefined. So, the last two terms of this equation are (essentially) random independent vectors in the full function  $f$ .

Equation 3456 is  $x \diamond x = x \diamond ((x \diamond x) \diamond x)$ . The functional equation is  $f(0) = f(f(0) + f(-f(0)))$ . In this original tree, this becomes  $x_1 = f(x_1 + x_2) = x_6$ , which is false.

Equation 4065 is  $x \diamond x = ((x \diamond x) \diamond x) \diamond x$ . The functional equation is

$$f(0) = f(0) + f(-f(0)) + f(-f(0) - f(-f(0))).$$

Use similar reasoning as for 3050.